| 1 2 3 4 5 | Michael J. Shipley (SBN 233674) mshipley@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 South Flower Street, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 680-8400 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500 Attorneys for Defendant | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 6 | GoodRx Holdings, Inc. | |
| 7 | [Additional Attorneys Listed on Signature Page | |
| 8 | UNITED STATE | TES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | | STRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | SAN FRA | NCISCO DIVISION |
| 11 | JANE DOE, et al., | Consolidated Case No. 23-CV-00501-AMO |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT |
| 13 | V. | STATEMENT & [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 14 | GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC., et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | Complaint Filed: May 26, 2023 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 28 | | |
| 40 I | | |

The parties in the above-captioned case, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby submit the following Joint Case Management Statement pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9 and the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California.

1. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

The parties agree that no issues exist regarding personal jurisdiction or venue. Plaintiffs assert that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C § 1332(d). Plaintiffs allege that the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367. The Defendants reserve their objections to subject-matter jurisdiction.

2. FACTS

The parties refer to the statements of facts set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. See Dkt. 80.

3. LEGAL ISSUES

The parties refer to the statements regarding legal issues set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. See Dkt. 80.

4. MOTIONS

Prior Motions:

The parties refer to the statements regarding prior motions set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. See Dkt. 80.

On March 14, 2023, Plaintiffs in the Jane Doe Action moved to consolidate the Jane Doe Action with the John Doe and Marquez Actions, with a hearing on the motion originally set for April 20, 2023. Jane Doe Action, Dkt. 60. Defendants did not oppose this motion. On April 21, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a second motion to consolidate, seeking to consolidate the Jane Doe Action, the John Doe Action, the Marquez Action, the Wilson Action, and the E.C. Action. See Dkt. 77. Defendants did not oppose this motion. This motion was granted on the record during the Initial Case Management Conference held on April 28, 2023, see Dkt. 81, and formally ordered May 3, 2023, see Dkt. 85.

2

4 5

6 7

8

10

1112

13 14

15

1617

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

Pending Motions:

On May 3, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a motion to appoint lead counsel. Dkt. 86. Defendants did not oppose that motion. That motion was originally scheduled to be heard on May 18, 2023, but that hearing date was vacated. Plaintiffs have re-noticed that motion for hearing on June 8, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. PST and have filed an administrative motion requesting that the hearing be conducted remotely via Zoom.

Anticipated Motions:

Pursuant to Judge Chhabria's rulings during the Initial Case Management Conference, held by videoconference on April 28, 2023, the briefing schedule has been set for anticipated motions to compel arbitration and/or motions to stay litigation, as well as motions to dismiss, as follows:

- Deadline for GoodRx to file motion to compel arbitration: 6/9/23¹
- Deadline for Meta, Google, and Criteo to file motion to compel arbitration and/or motion to stay (if any): 6/16/23
- Deadline for each Defendant to file motions to dismiss: 7/7/23
- Deadline for Plaintiffs to file one opposition brief (30 page limit) to motion(s) to compel arbitration and/or stay: 8/4/23
- Deadline for Plaintiffs to file one opposition brief (30 page limit) to all motion(s) to dismiss: 8/10/23
- Deadline for replies: 8/24/23

GoodRx notes that at the Initial Case Management Conference, when the Court was setting the deadline for the motion to compel arbitration, counsel for GoodRx flagged that "if there's some new Plaintiff we don't know about that [Plaintiffs] add, that may take time to diligence as to who that is and whether we have a motion to compel arbitration. So I'm okay leaving in June 9th. But if the Plaintiff subset grows, that might be an issue and we might need more time than that." See Exhibit 1, Excerpted Transcript of Apr. 28, 2023 Initial Case Management Conference at 15:24-16:8. The Court proceeded to ask Plaintiffs' counsel: "Any sense now as to whether the subset will grow?" Id. at 16:9-10. Plaintiffs' counsel responded: "Not expected to be an issue." *Id.* at 16:11. Contrary to this representation, the Consolidated Class Action Complaint filed on May 26, 2023 included a new Plaintiff, who filed her claims under a pseudonym, "Jane Doe II." Dkt. 102. The next day, Counsel for GoodRx promptly requested that basic contact information for Jane Doe II be provided so that GoodRx could investigate whether this individual agreed to arbitrate her claims. Counsel for GoodRx again requested this information on May 30, 2023. On May 31, 2023, counsel for Jane Doe II provided the requested information, which GoodRx is now assessing. As a result of this newly added plaintiff and recently provided information, GoodRx expressly reserves the right to seek an extension of the June 9, 2023 deadline for its motion to compel arbitration, and Criteo, Meta, and Google similarly reserve their rights to seek an extension of the June 16, 2023 deadline for any motions to compel arbitration or stay litigation pending arbitration to the extent GoodRx requires additional time for its motion to compel arbitration.

Dkt. 81.

Although Judge Chhabria had originally blocked off his calendar for a hearing on the aforementioned motions for September 7, 2023, the parties understand that that hearing date has now been vacated. The parties jointly propose September 14 for a hearing on these motions if the Court has availability then.

Additional Anticipated Motions:

Plaintiffs will file a motion for class certification. The parties may move for summary judgment and make various discovery and pretrial motions, as necessary.

5. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

Pursuant to Judge Chhabria's rulings during the Initial Case Management Conference, Plaintiffs filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint on May 26, 2023. Dkt. 102.

Plaintiffs may seek leave to amend the complaint further at a later date. Defendants reserve all rights regarding any further motion by Plaintiffs to amend the complaint.

6. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION

The parties have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information. The parties have not completed the meet and confer process pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). The parties intend to engage in further meet and confer discussions in the coming weeks regarding their respective reasonable and proportionate steps taken to identify and preserve potentially relevant information.

7. DISCLOSURES

In light of the recent motions to relate and motion for consolidation, the parties have not yet served initial disclosures. Pursuant to the Initial Case Management Conference and stay of discovery discussed below, the parties plan to serve initial disclosures within 30 days after a decision on the forthcoming motions to compel arbitration and motions to dismiss.

8. DISCOVERY

No discovery has been conducted to date. During the Initial Case Management Conference, Judge Chhabria instructed that, aside from potential limited jurisdictional discovery necessary to respond to any

motion to compel arbitration, no discovery may take place before the hearing on the forthcoming motions to compel arbitration, to stay, and to dismiss. Judge Chhabria further ordered that if after a hearing on the anticipated motions to dismiss and motions to compel arbitration any part of this case remains before the Court and is not stayed or dismissed, GoodRx will be in a position to produce the document productions made to the FTC as part of the FTC's investigation in FTC Matter No. 2023090. GoodRx reserves the right to withhold or redact material from that production for privilege, and agrees only to produce such material pursuant to an agreed-upon protective order entered by this Court prior to such production.

Protective Order:

The parties agree to endeavor to submit in writing a protective order to maintain the confidentiality

The parties agree to endeavor to submit in writing a protective order to maintain the confidentiality of documents and other information produced during discovery within 60 days from the filing of the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, taking into account the number of parties involved in this matter.

Electronic Discovery:

The parties agree to endeavor to submit a joint protocol for the handling, maintenance, and production of electronically stored information ("ESI"), including any confidentiality designations within 60 days from the filing of the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, taking into account the number of parties involved in this matter.

Limitations or Modifications:

The parties consent and agree, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(E), that service may be made by electronic mail, with copies sent to all attorneys of record for the party served.

The parties agree that it is premature to propose any potential expansion of the discovery limits set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. CLASS ACTIONS

The parties refer to the statements regarding class actions set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. *See* Dkt. 80.

10. RELATED CASES

The parties refer to the statements regarding related cases set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. *See* Dkt. 80.²

11. RELIEF

The parties refer to the statements regarding relief set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. *See* Dkt. 80.

12. SETTLEMENT AND ADR

Per ADR L.R. 3-5, the parties and their counsel have read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," available at www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov. On April 28, 2023, the Court referred this case to court-sponsored mediation. *See* Dkt. 81. On May 9, 2023, the Hon. Ellen S. James (Ret.) was appointed as mediator. Dkt. 91. On May 22, 2023, the parties participated in a mediation pre-call. During the call, the parties and the mediator agreed that mediation at this juncture would be premature, and that the parties and mediator would benefit from waiting to schedule the mediation until after the anticipated motions to compel arbitration, motions to stay, and/or motions to dismiss are fully briefed and heard. The parties have tentatively scheduled another check-in call with the mediator for September 21, 2023. Accordingly, the parties will request that the Court extend the deadline to conduct a mediation pursuant to ADR L.R. 6-5.

13. OTHER REFERENCES

As noted above, Defendant GoodRx anticipates filing a motion to compel arbitration. Defendants Criteo, Google, and Meta reserve their rights to similarly file motions to compel arbitration or to stay litigation pending the motions to arbitrate and any subsequent arbitrations. The parties otherwise agree that this case is not suitable for reference to a special master or referral to the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation at this time. The parties reserve their rights on the suitability of these mechanisms if facts or circumstances change.

14. NARROWING OF ISSUES

The parties are not presently aware of any issues that can be narrowed by agreement.

² There are several other actions currently pending in this district against Meta regarding the use of the Meta Pixel and SDK.

Defendants believe that the anticipated motions to compel arbitration and motions to dismiss—if they are not dispositive of the cases in whole—are likely to narrow or eliminate issues for discovery and for trial. Plaintiffs disagree, for the reasons previously described in the parties' prior Joint Initial Case Management Statement. Dkt. 80.

15. EXPEDITED TRIAL PROCEDURE

The parties agree that this case is not appropriate for the Expedited Trial Procedure of General Order No. 64 Attachment A.

16. SCHEDULING

The parties agree that pursuant to the discussion during the Initial Case Management Conference, the parties will meet and confer regarding a case schedule following a decision on the forthcoming motions to compel arbitration, motions to stay, and motions to dismiss. The parties will be prepared to present a joint proposed schedule or competing proposed schedules within 30 days of resolution of those motions.

17. TRIAL

Plaintiffs have requested a trial by jury. The parties believe it is too early to estimate the length of trial until certain motions are resolved.

18. DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS

The parties refer to the statements regarding disclosure of non-party interested entities or persons set forth in their Joint Initial Case Management Statement, filed on April 27, 2023. *See* Dkt. 80.

19. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the Northern District of California and agree to comply with these Guidelines in addition to those set out in the Federal Rules.

20. OTHER

At this time, the parties do not request the attention of the Court with respect to matters other than those outlined above.

| 1 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | Respectfully submitted, |
|-----|---------------------|---|
| 2 | | /s/ Robert C. Schubert |
| 3 4 | | Robert C. Schubert #62684 Willem F. Jonckheer #178748 Amber L. Schubert #278696 |
| 5 | | SCHUBERT JONCKHEER & KOLBE LLP 2001 Union Street, Suite 200 |
| 6 | | San Francisco, CA 94123 Telephone: (415) 788-4220 Facsimile: (415) 788-0161 |
| 7 | | rschubert@sjk.law wjonckheer@sjk.law |
| 8 | | aschubert@sjk.law |
| 9 | | Christian Levis (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Amanda Fiorilla (<i>pro hac vice</i>) |
| 10 | | Rachel Kesten (pro hac vice) LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C. |
| 11 | | 44 South Broadway, Suite 1100 White Plains, NY 10601 |
| 12 | | Telephone: (914) 997-0500 Facsimile: (914) 997-0035 |
| 13 | | clevis@lowey.com |
| 14 | | afiorilla@lowey.com rkesten@lowey.com |
| 15 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff JANE DOE |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Mark L. Javitch |
| 18 | | Mark L. Javitch (CA SBN 323729) mark@javitchlawoffice.com |
| 19 | | Javitch Law Office 3 East 3rd Ave., Suite 200 |
| 20 | | San Mateo, CA 94401 |
| 21 | | Telephone: (650) 781-8000 Facsimile: (650) 648-0705 |
| 22 | | Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. (pro hac vice) tom@attorneyzim.com |
| 23 | | Zimmerman Law Offices, P.C. |
| 24 | | 77 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602 |
| 25 | | Telephone: (312) 440-0020 Facsimile: (312) 440-4180 |
| 26 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN DOE |
| 27 | DATED M 21 2022 | //I T: 1 T: 1 |
| 28 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ L. Timothy Fisher |
| | | 7 |

| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | L. Timothy Fisher (SBN 191626) Jenna L. Gavenman (SBN 348510) |
| | | BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. |
| 3 | | 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 |
| 4 | | Telephone: (925) 300-4455 Facsimile: (925) 407-2700 |
| 5 | | E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com |
| 6 | | E-mail: jgavenman@bursor.com |
| 7 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSE MARQUEZ |
| 8 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Jonathan Shub |
| 9 | | Jonathan Shub #237708 |
| 10 | | Benjamin F. Johns (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Samantha E. Holbrook (<i>pro hac vice</i> |
| 11 | | forthcoming) SHUB LAW FIRM LLC |
| 12 | | 134 Kings Highway E Haddonfield, NJ 08033 |
| 13 | | Telephone: (856) 772-7200 |
| | | Facsimile: (856) 210-9088 jshub@shublawyers.com |
| 14 | | bjohns@shublawyers.com |
| 15 | | sholbrook@shublawyers.com |
| 16 | | Counsel for Plaintiff HOLLIS WILSON |
| 17 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Israel David |
| 18 | | Israel David (pro hac vice) |
| 19 | | israel.david@davidllc.com Blake Hunter Yagman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) |
| | | blake.yagman@davidllc.com |
| 20 | | ISRAEL DAVID LLC 17 State Street, Suite 4010 |
| 21 | | New York, New York 10004 |
| 22 | | Telephone: (212) 739-0622 Facsimile: (212) 739-0628 |
| 23 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff E.C. |
| 24 | | /s/ Rebecca M. Hoberg |
| 25 | | Rebecca M. Hoberg |
| 26 | | rhoberg@moyalawfirm.com MOYA LAW FIRM |
| 27 | | 1300 Clay Street, Suite 600 Oakland, California 94612 |
| 28 | | Telephone: (510) 926-6521 |
| - | | 8 |
| | JOINT INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT | CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. 23-cv-00501-AMO |

| 1 2 | | Local Counsel for Plaintiff E.C. |
|----------|---|---|
| 3 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Michael J. Shipley |
| 4 | | Michael J. Shipley (SBN 233674) |
| 5 | | mshipley@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP |
| 6 | | 555 South Flower Street, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, California 90071 |
| 7 | | Telephone: (213) 680-8400 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500 |
| 8 | | Olivia Adendorff (<i>pro hac vice</i>) olivia.adendorff@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP |
| 10 | | 4550 Travis Street Dallas, TX 75205 |
| 11 | | Telephone: (214) 972-1758 Fascimile: (214) 972-1771 |
| 12 | | Martin L. Roth (pro hac vice) |
| 13 | | martin.roth@kirkland.com Alyssa C. Kalisky (<i>pro hac</i>) alyssa.kalisky@kirkland.com |
| 14 | | KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 N. LaSalle |
| 15 | | Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 |
| 16 | | Facsimile: (312) 862-2200 |
| 17 | | Attorneys for Defendant GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Lauren R. Goldman |
| 20 | | Lauren R. Goldman (pro hac vice) lgoldman@gibsondunn.com |
| 21 | | Darcy C. Harris (<i>pro hac vice</i>) dharris@gibsondunn.com |
| 22 | | GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue |
| 23 | | New York, NY 10166 Telephone: (212) 351-4000 |
| 24 | | Facsimile: (212) 351-4035 |
| 25 | | Elizabeth K. McCloskey (268184) emccloskey@gibsondunn.com |
| 26 | | Abigail A. Barrera (301746) abarrera@gibsondunn.com |
| 27 28 | | GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 |
| 20 | | 9 |
| | JOINT INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT | CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. 23-cv-00501-AMO |

| , | | G F ' G 04107 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 393-4622 |
| 2 | | Facsimile: (415) 801-7389 |
| 3 | | Andrew M. Kasabian (313210) akasabian@gibsondunn.com |
| 4 | | GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue |
| 5 | | Los Angeles, CA 90071 USA |
| 6 | | Telephone: (213) 229-7311 Facsimile: (213) 229-6311 |
| 7 | | Michael G. Rhodes (116127) |
| 8 | | rhodesmg@cooley.com Kyle C. Wong (224021) |
| 9 | | kwong@cooley.com Caroline A. Lebel (340067) |
| 10 | | clebel@cooley.com COOLEY LLP |
| 11 | | 3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4004 |
| 12 | | Telephone: (415) 693-2000 |
| | | Facsimile: (415) 693-2222 |
| 13 | | Attorneys for Defendant META PLATFORMS, INC. |
| 14 | | (formerly known as Facebook, Inc.) |
| 15 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Tenaya Rodewald |
| 16 | DATED: Way 51, 2025 | • |
| 17 | | Tenaya Rodewald, Cal. Bar No. 248563 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & |
| 18 | | HAMPTON LLP 1540 El Camino Real Suite 120 |
| 19 | | Menlo Park, California 94025-4111 Telephone: (650) 815-2600 |
| 20 | | Facsimile: (650) 815-2601 Email: trodewald@sheppardmullin.com |
| 21 | | Thomas Dillickrath, <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming |
| 22 | | SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & |
| 23 | | HAMPTON LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 100 |
| 24 | | Washington, D.C. 20006-6801 Telephone: (202) 747-1900 |
| | | Facsimile: (202) 747-1901 Email: tdillickrath@sheppardmullin.com |
| 25 | | Sarah A. K. Blitz, Cal. Bar No. 280118 |
| 26 | | SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP |
| 27 | | 333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor |
| 28 | | Los Angeles, California 90071-1422 |
| | JOINT INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT | 10 CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. 23-cv-00501-AMO |
| | | COLOGERATION CLICE I.O. 23 VV 00301-AIVIO |

| 1 | | Telephone: (213) 620-1780 Facsimile: (213) 620-1398 |
|----------|---------------------|--|
| 2 | | Email: sblitz@sheppardmullin.com |
| 3 | | Attorneys for Defendant CRITEO CORP. |
| 4 | DATED: May 31, 2023 | /s/ Benedict Y. Hur |
| 5 | , | Benedict Y. Hur (SBN: 224018) |
| 6 | | Simona Agnolucci (SBN: 246943) Eduardo E. Santacana (SBN: 281668) |
| 7 | | Tiffany Lin (SBN: 321472) Yuhan Alice Chi (SBN: 324072) |
| 8 | | WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP One Front Street, 34th Floor |
| 9 | | San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 858-7400 |
| 10 | | Facsimile: (415) 858-7599 bhur@willkie.com |
| 11 | | sagnolucci@willkie.com esantacana@willkie.com |
| 12 | | tlin@willkie.com ychi@willkie.com |
| 13 | | Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE LLC |
| 14 | | |
| 15 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | I, Michael J. Shipley, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Stipulation and [Proposed] Scheduling Order. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I hereby | |
| 3 | attest that the attorneys listed above have concurred in this filing. | |
| 4 | DATED: May 31, 2023 /s/ Michael J. Shipley | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Michael J. Shipley (SBN 233674) mshipley@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP | |
| 7 | 555 South Flower Street, Suite 3700 | |
| 8 | Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 680-8400 | |
| 9 | Facsimile: (213) 680-8500 | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On May 31, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all persons registered for ECF. All copies of documents required to be served by Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a) and L.R. 5-1 have been so served.

/s/ Michael J. Shipley

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. 23-cv-00501-AMO

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _____ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE [PROPOSED] ORDER

CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. 23-cv-00501-AMO

EXHIBIT 1

| | | Pages 1- |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DI | |
| 2 | NORTHERN DISTRICT SAN FRANCISCO | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | JANE DOE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly |) Case No. 23-cv-00501-VC |
| 5 | situated, |) San Francisco, California) Friday, April 28, 2023 |
| 6 | Plaintiff, |) ZOOM WEBINAR PROCEEDINGS |
| 7 | v. |))) |
| 8 | GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC., CRITEO |)) |
| 9 | CORP., META PLATFORMS, INC., AND GOOGLE LLC, |)) |
| 10 | Defendants. |) |
| 11 | |)) |
| 12 | JOHN DOE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly |) Case No. 23-cv-00744-VC |
| 13 | situated, |)) |
| 14 | Plaintiff, |)) |
| 15 | v. |)) |
| 16 | GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC., CRITEO CORP., META PLATFORMS, INC., |)) |
| 17 | AND GOOGLE LLC, |)) |
| 18 | Defendants. |)) |
| 19 | JOSE MARQUEZ, individually and |)) Case No. 23-cv-00940-VC |
| 20 | behalf of all others similarly situated, |)) |
| 21 | Plaintiff, |)) |
| 22 | v. |)) |
| 23 | GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC., CRITEO |)) |
| 24 | CORP., META PLATFORMS, INC., AND GOOGLE LLC, |)) |
| 25 | Defendants. |) |
| | |) |

```
HOLLIS WILSON, individually and ) Case No. 23-cv-01293-VC
   behalf of all others similarly
 2
   situated,
 3
               Plaintiff,
 4
        v.
 5
   GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC., CRITEO
   CORP., META PLATFORMS, INC.,
 6
   AND GOOGLE LLC,
 7
                Defendants.
8
                                         Case No. 23-cv-01508-VC
   E.C., individually and on
   behalf of all others similarly
   situated,
10
               Plaintiff,
11
         v.
12
    GOODRX HOLDINGS, INC., CRITEO
13
   CORP., META PLATFORMS, INC.,
   AND GOOGLE LLC,
14
                Defendants.
15
16
            TRANSCRIPT OF INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
17
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE VINCE CHHABRIA
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
18
19
   APPEARANCES: (Via Zoom)
                                 CHRISTIAN LEVIS, ESQ.
   For Plaintiff Jane Doe:
                                 Lowey Dannenberg, P.C.
21
                                 44 South Broadway, Suite 1100
                                 White Plains, New York 10601
                                 (914) 997-0500
2.2
23
24
    [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL APPEARANCES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]
25
   Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
   produced by transcription service.
```

| | | | 3 |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | (Cont'd.) | |
| 2 | For Plaintiff | Jane Doe: | WILLEM F. JONCKHEER, ESQ. Schubert Jonckheer & Kolbe LLP |
| 3 | | | 2001 Union Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, California (415) 788-4220 |
| 5 | For Plaintiff | John Doe: | THOMAS A. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. |
| 6 7 | | | Zimmerman Law Offices, P.C. 77 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 440-0020 |
| 8 | For Plaintiff | Jose Marquez: | JENNA L. GAVENMAN, ESQ. Bursor & Fisher, P.A. |
| 9 | | | 1990 North California Boulevard, Suite 940 |
| 10 | | | Walnut Creek, California 94596 (925) 300-4455 |
| 12 | For Plaintiff | E.C.: | ISRAEL DAVID, ESQ. Israel David LLC |
| 13 | | | 17 State Street, Suite 4010 New York, New York 10004 (212) 739-0622 |
| 14 15 | For Plaintiff Wilson: | Hollis | BENJAMIN F. JOHNS, ESQ. Shub & Johns Law Firm LLC |
| 16 | WIISOII. | | 134 Kings Highway E Haddonfield, New Jersey 08033 |
| 17 | For Defendant | CoodDy | (856) 772-7200 |
| 18 | Holdings, Inc. | | MARTIN L. ROTH, ESQ. ALYSSA C. KALISKY, ESQ. Kirkland & Ellis LLP |
| 19 20 | | | 300 N. LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 (312) 962-2000 |
| 21 | For Defendant | Criteo | TENAYA RODEWALD, ESQ. |
| 22 | Corp.: | | Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP 1540 El Camino Real, Suite 120 |
| 23 | | | Menlo Park, California 94025-4111 (650) 815-2600 |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

| | | 4 |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.) | |
| 2 | For Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.: | ELIZABETH K. McCLOSKEY, ESQ. Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP |
| 3 | riacionmb, inc. | 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105 |
| 4 | | (415) 393-4622 |
| 5 | For Defendant Google LLC: | SIMONA AGNOLUCCI, ESQ. |
| 6 7 | | Wilkie, Farr & Gallagher LLP One Front Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 |
| 8 | | (415) 958-7400 |
| 9 | Transcription Service: | Peggy Schuerger Ad Hoc Reporting |
| 10 | | 2220 Otay Lakes Road, Suite 502-85 Chula Vista, California 91915 |
| 11 | | (619) 236-9325 |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Γ

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEVIS: That should move things along quickly. And then from that point, we can file a consolidated complaint relatively quickly after that. I think we proposed 30 days initially but, if the Court wants it faster --

THE COURT: Okay. So motion -- motion to appoint interim class counsel, why don't we say that that is due on May 3rd, Wednesday, May 3rd. Is that reasonable?

MR. LEVIS: Sure. That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's May 3rd. Hearing on the motion to appoint interim class counsel -- I think there should be a hearing, even if all of the Plaintiffs' lawyers are in agreement and even if the Defense counsel is in agreement, just in case somebody else out there with some interest in the matter wants to -- you know, wants to weigh in. So why don't we schedule a hearing. We'll say any opposition to the motion to appoint interim class counsel will be due on May 9th. And a hearing on the motion to appoint interim class counsel will be May 18th.

If I don't receive an opposition, that may -- you know, I'll probably vacate the hearing and just grant it. But I do want to say that I will be scrutinizing the proposed structure to make sure it's not too bloated; right?

MR. LEVIS: Sure.

THE COURT: I think that is a concern in these cases that, you know, Plaintiffs' counsel, you know, proposes a bloated,

you know, leadership structure that results in overbilling and I think the Defendants have an interest in, you know, that not happening. I think it, you know -- the Court and the public have an interest in that not happening. So I will be scrutinizing the proposed structure to make sure it's not too bloated.

MR. LEVIS: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. So that's the -- so May 18th will be the hearing on appointment of interim class counsel. Deadline to file a consolidated amended complaint, how about May 26th?

11 MR. LEVIS: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay? Deadline to file motions to compel arbitration, how about June 9th?

MR. ROTH: That should work.

THE COURT: All right. That's for GoodRx. Deadline for the other Defendants to file motions to compel arbitration, June 16th.

MR. HUR: Your Honor, that's fine. We know you suggested that motions to stay were not likely to be granted, but we would request at least the option to file one on that date.

THE COURT: All right. That will be part of your motion to compel arbitration. So part of the same 15-page limit.

MR. HUR: Will do, Your Honor.

MR. ROTH: Your Honor, just at the risk of, you know -I certainly don't want to break the Court's rhythm, but June 9th,

assuming the Plaintiff population in the consolidated amended 1 complaint is the same as today, or some subset number would 2 3 probably work for us. The only thing I would ask is if there's 4 some new Plaintiff we don't know about that they add, that may 5 take time to diligence as to who that is and whether we have a 6 motion to compel arbitration. So I'm okay leaving in June 9th But if the Plaintiff subset grows, that might be an issue and we 7 8 might need more time than that.

9 THE COURT: Any sense now as to whether the subset will 10 grow?

MR. LEVIS: Not expected to be an issue.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. So June 16th is the deadline for the other Defendants to file a motion to compel arbitration and stay pending arbitration.

Deadline to file motions to dismiss for all Defendants will be July 7th.

Should I require -- shoot, I forgot to plug my iPad in during the break. Should I require that the -- that it be consolidated motions to dismiss? How much overlap will it be?

MS. RODEWALD: For Defendant Criteo, I would say that we believe the issues as to Defendant Criteo are different from the issues as to the other Defendants, and we would request the opportunity to file our own brief.

MR. ROTH: I would add also, you know, GoodRx, Your Honor, as you'd imagine is a little differently situated than the

other three Defendants since it's our kind of website and app and not our technology. So we at least would probably file our own motion as well.

THE COURT: What about a consolidated motion to dismiss from Google and Meta and the -- who's the other Defendant?

MS. RODEWALD: Defendant Criteo, which really requests its own motion, Your Honor, because the issues and pleadings are different as to us. The actual factual allegations are different.

THE COURT: I'm guessing Google and Meta really don't want to file a consolidated motion to dismiss.

MS. McCLOSKEY: We would prefer to file it on our own,
Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That -- I'm not going to grant any extensions on the page limits for anybody's motion to dismiss.

I'll just tell you that in advance.

So the -- so the deadline to file those motions to dismiss will be July 7th. I realize we have not -- we're not setting opposition dates yet. I thought we would do that after we set all these other dates.

And then we can do the hearing sometime in August, maybe like late August or something. Does that seem about right? Maybe August 24th? Like I said, I could -- I would clear -- Angie, this is a note for you to pass along to Bhavna, but I would -- whatever date we set, that date will be blocked for -- no other civil motions will be heard on that day.

| 1 | THE CLERK: Your Honor, there's one housekeeping issue. |
|----|---|
| 2 | There's another initial case management conference set for May |
| 3 | 26th. |
| 4 | THE COURT: I assume that's not necessary? We'll vacate |
| 5 | that. All right. We'll vacate that. But if you need a status |
| 6 | conference at any time, just let us know and I'd be happy to talk |
| 7 | to all two, four, six, eight, ten, twelve of you. |
| 8 | All right. Thanks very much. |
| 9 | MR. LEVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 10 | MR. HUR: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 11 | [ALL]: Thank you. |
| 12 | (Proceedings adjourned at 12:03 p.m.) |
| 13 | |
| 14 | I, Peggy Schuerger, certify that the foregoing is a |
| 15 | correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording |
| 16 | provided to me of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Peggy Schwerger Signature of Approved Transcriber May 3, 2023 Date |
| 19 | Signature of Approved franscriber Date |
| 20 | Peggy Schuerger Ad Hoc Reporting |
| 21 | Approved Transcription Provider for the U.S. District Court, |
| 22 | Northern District of California |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |